

Family Name	Fagan
Given Name	Erin
Person ID	1286672
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Fagan
Given Name	Erin
Person ID	1286672
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As resident in Mosley Common I strongly object to the plan to build 1,100 houses on green belt fields to the north of Mosley Common. I believe this is not justified or legally compliant as Wigan Borough Council has sufficient supply to deliver the projected required number of homes over the next seventeen years without building on Green Belt land. This is admitted in section 7.12 of the plan.</p> <p>My two overriding concerns with this plan is the impact on air quality in the area due to increased traffic the houses would generate (projected an extra 1,400 vehicles for 1,100 homes which in my view is likely to significantly underestimate the true number of vehicles) and the destruction of much needed greenspaces for wildlife and wellbeing.</p> <p>Greater Manchester Combined Authority have a Clean Air Plan and it is my view that the building of homes North of Mosley Common contradicts this plan. As a local resident I am particularly concerned about the impact on air quality as I have a family history of asthma REDACTED TEXT. I worry about the impact on our health of a significant increase in traffic pollution just metres from our home and the destruction of trees and foliage that currently helps to mitigate traffic pollution. The proposed site of the homes is an area of green belt that is regularly used by a large number of local residents. The green space provides opportunities to walk and be in nature which, as was never more apparent than during the pandemic, is extremely important for both the physical and mental wellbeing of local people.</p> <p>REDACTED TEXT. Particularly at peak travelling hours I often find it difficult to exit or re-enter my road. There is no mention in the proposals for improving local infrastructure including roads to cope with excess traffic. I believe journey times for local residents will increase unreasonably as a result of the excess traffic. I am also concerned about the junction of Mosley Common Road with the East Lancashire Road as there is no safe pedestrian crossing and with increased traffic I believe there is likely to be more road traffic</p>

accidents due to drive impatience. There are limited public transport options in the immediate vicinity. The V1/V2 bus route is the closest option and this is already heavily over prescribed with many a vehicle already full by the time it reaches our local stops leading to unreasonable waiting times at peak hours. There is no mention in the proposal of how public transport options may be improved as a result.

I am further concerned about the lack of detail outlined in the proposals to address important infrastructure such as schools, health and recreation facilities. GP and dentists in the area are already over subscribed.

I believe the proposed project would have a devastating impact on the physical and mental health of local residents and massively reduce the quality and enjoyment of life living in the area. I strongly object.

Finally I would like to register my dismay at the lack of information and engagement during the consultation process. Had I not sought out information myself I would have been unaware of the consultation process as it has not been well advertised to residents nor events or literature made readily available. Given the huge impact such proposals would have it is unacceptable to not make concerted efforts to afford all residents the opportunity to comment upon them.